

# ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS OF HYPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS AND FINITE PROJECTIVE LINES

ARSEN ELKIN AND YURI G. ZARHIN

## 1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let  $K$  be a field with  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ . Let us fix an algebraic closure  $K_a$  of  $K$ . Let us put  $\text{Gal}(K) := \text{Aut}(K_a/K)$ . If  $X$  is an abelian variety of positive dimension over  $K_a$  then we write  $\text{End}(X)$  for the ring of all its  $K_a$ -endomorphisms and  $\text{End}^0(X)$  for the corresponding (semisimple finite-dimensional)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\text{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We write  $\text{End}_K(X)$  for the ring of all  $K$ -endomorphisms of  $X$  and  $\text{End}_K^0(X)$  for the corresponding (semisimple finite-dimensional)  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\text{End}_K(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . The absolute Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K)$  of  $K$  acts on  $\text{End}(X)$  (and therefore on  $\text{End}^0(X)$ ) by ring (resp. algebra) automorphisms and

$$\text{End}_K(X) = \text{End}(X)^{\text{Gal}(K)}, \quad \text{End}_K^0(X) = \text{End}^0(X)^{\text{Gal}(K)},$$

since every endomorphism of  $X$  is defined over a finite separable extension of  $K$ .

If  $n$  is a positive integer that is not divisible by  $\text{char}(K)$  then we write  $X_n$  for the kernel of multiplication by  $n$  in  $X(K_a)$ . It is well-known [21] that  $X_n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $2\dim(X)$ . In particular, if  $n = \ell$  is a prime then  $X_\ell$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -vector space of dimension  $2\dim(X)$ .

If  $X$  is defined over  $K$  then  $X_n$  is a Galois submodule in  $X(K_a)$ . It is known that all points of  $X_n$  are defined over a finite separable extension of  $K$ . We write  $\bar{\rho}_{n,X,K} : \text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(X_n)$  for the corresponding homomorphism defining the structure of the Galois module on  $X_n$ ,

$$\tilde{G}_{n,X,K} \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}(X_n)$$

for its image  $\bar{\rho}_{n,X,K}(\text{Gal}(K))$  and  $K(X_n)$  for the field of definition of all points of  $X_n$ . Clearly,  $K(X_n)$  is a finite Galois extension of  $K$  with Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K(X_n)/K) = \tilde{G}_{n,X,K}$ . If  $n = \ell$  then we get a natural faithful linear representation

$$\tilde{G}_{\ell,X,K} \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_\ell}(X_\ell)$$

of  $\tilde{G}_{\ell,X,K}$  in the  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -vector space  $X_\ell$ . Recall [29] that all endomorphisms of  $X$  are defined over  $K(X_4)$ ; this gives rise to the natural homomorphism

$$\kappa_{X,4} : \tilde{G}_{4,X,K} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{End}^0(X))$$

and  $\text{End}_K^0(X)$  coincides with the subalgebra  $\text{End}^0(X)^{\tilde{G}_{4,X,K}}$  of  $\tilde{G}_{4,X,K}$ -invariants [38, Sect. 1].

Let  $f(x) \in K[x]$  be a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 3$  without multiple roots. Let  $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$  be the  $n$ -element set of roots of  $f$ . Then  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  is the splitting field of  $f$  and  $\text{Gal}(f) := \text{Aut}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$  is the Galois group of  $f$  (over  $K$ ). One may view  $\text{Gal}(f)$  as a group of permutations of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ ; it is transitive if and only if  $f(x)$  is irreducible.

Let us consider the hyperelliptic curve  $C_f : y^2 = f(x)$  and its jacobian  $J(C_f)$ . It is well-known [33] that  $J(C_f)$  is a  $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ -dimensional abelian variety defined over  $K$ . The aim of this paper is to study  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f))$ , assuming that  $n = q + 1$  where  $q$  is a power of a prime  $p$  and  $\text{Gal}(f) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts via fractional-linear transformations on  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  identified with the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . It follows from results of [32, 35, 37] that for every  $q$  in all characteristics there exist  $K$  and  $f$  with  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f)) = \mathbb{Q}$ . On the other hand, it is known that  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f)) = \mathbb{Q}$  [32, 33, 39] if  $p = 2$  and  $q \geq 8$ . (It is also true for  $q = 4$  if one assumes that  $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$  [37].) However, if  $q = 5$  then there are examples where  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f))$  is a (real) quadratic field (even in characteristic zero) [4, 11, 31, 7].

Our main result is the following statement.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let us assume that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Suppose that  $n = q + 1$  where  $q \geq 5$  is a prime power that is congruent to  $\pm 3$  modulo 8. Suppose that  $f(x)$  is irreducible and  $\text{Gal}(f) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Then one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (i)  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f)) = \mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field. In particular,  $J(C_f)$  is an absolutely simple abelian variety.
- (ii)  $q$  is congruent to 3 modulo 8 and  $J(C_f)$  is  $K_a$ -isogenous to a self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ .

**Remark 1.2.** It follows from results of [14] (see also [28], [25]) that if the case (ii) of Theorem 1.1 holds then  $J(C_f)$  is isomorphic over  $K_a$  to a product of mutually isogenous elliptic curves with complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ .

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains auxiliary results about endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties. In Section 3 we prove the main result. In Section 4 (and Section 5) we prove the absolute simplicity of  $J(C_f)$  when  $q \geq 11$  is congruent to 3 modulo 8 and  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ . Section 6 contains examples.

## 2. ENDOMORPHISM ALGEBRAS OF ABELIAN VARIETIES

**Remark 2.1.** Recall [8] (see also [34, p. 199]) that a surjective homomorphism of finite groups  $\pi : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is called a *minimal cover* if no proper subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  maps onto  $\mathcal{G}$ . If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  that lies in  $\ker(\pi)$  then the induced surjection  $\mathcal{G}_1/H \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is also a minimal cover.

- (i) If a surjection  $\mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$  is also a minimal cover then one may easily check that the composition  $\mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is surjective and a minimal cover.
- (ii) Clearly, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is simple then every proper normal subgroup in  $\mathcal{G}_1$  lies in  $\ker(\pi)$ .
- (iii) If  $\mathcal{G}$  is perfect then its universal central extension is a minimal cover [30].
- (iv) If  $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is an arbitrary surjective homomorphism of finite groups then there always exists a subgroup  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{G}'$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is surjective and a minimal cover. Clearly, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is perfect then  $\mathcal{H}$  is also perfect.

The field inclusion  $K(X_2) \subset K(X_4)$  induces a natural surjection [38, Sect. 1]

$$\tau_{2,X} : \tilde{G}_{4,X,K} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}.$$

**Definition 2.2.** We say that  $K$  is *2-balanced* with respect to  $X$  if  $\tau_{2,X}$  is a minimal cover.

**Remark 2.3.** Clearly, there always exists a subgroup  $H \subset \tilde{G}_{4,X,K}$  such that  $H \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is surjective and a minimal cover. Let us put  $L = K(X_4)^H$ . Clearly,

$$K \subset L \subset K(X_4), \quad L \bigcap K(X_2) = K$$

and  $L$  is a maximal overfield of  $K$  that enjoys these properties. It is also clear that

$$K(X_2) \subset L(X_2), \quad L(X_4) = K(X_4), \quad H = \tilde{G}_{4,X,L}, \quad \tilde{G}_{2,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$$

and  $L$  is 2-balanced with respect to  $X$ .

The following assertion (and its proof) is (are) inspired by Theorem 1.6 of [38] (and its proof).

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose that  $E := \text{End}_K^0(X)$  is a field that contains the center  $C$  of  $\text{End}^0(X)$ . Let  $C_{X,K}$  be the centralizer of  $\text{End}_K^0(X)$  in  $\text{End}^0(X)$ .*

*Then:*

- (i)  *$C_{X,K}$  is a central simple  $E$ -subalgebra in  $\text{End}^0(X)$ . In addition, the centralizer of  $C_{X,K}$  in  $\text{End}^0(X)$  coincides with  $E = \text{End}_K^0(X)$  and*

$$\dim_E(C_{X,K}) = \frac{\dim_C(\text{End}^0(X))}{[E : C]^2}.$$

- (ii) *Assume that  $K$  is 2-balanced with respect to  $X$  and  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is a non-abelian simple group. If  $\text{End}^0(X) \neq E$  (i.e., not all endomorphisms of  $X$  are defined over  $K$ ) then there exist a finite perfect group  $\Pi \subset C_{X,K}^*$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  that is a minimal cover. In addition, the induced homomorphism*

$$E[\Pi] \rightarrow C_{X,K}$$

*is surjective, i.e.,  $C_{X,K}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of the group algebra  $E[\Pi]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E$  is a field,  $C$  is a subfield of  $E$  and therefore  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a central simple  $C$ -algebra. Now the assertion (i) follows from Theorem 2 of Sect. 10.2 in Chapter VIII of [5].

Now let us prove the assertion (ii).

Recall that there is the homomorphism

$$\kappa_{X,4} : \tilde{G}_{4,X,K} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{End}^0(X))$$

such that

$$\text{End}^0(X)^{\tilde{G}_{4,X,K}} = \text{End}_K^0(X) = E \supset C.$$

This implies that

$$\kappa_{X,4}(\tilde{G}_{4,X,K}) \subset \text{Aut}_E(\text{End}^0(X)) \subset \text{Aut}_C(\text{End}^0(X))$$

and we get a homomorphism

$$\kappa_E : \tilde{G}_{4,X,K} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_E(C_{X,K})$$

such that

$$(1) \quad C_{X,K}^{\tilde{G}_{4,X,K}} = E$$

Assume that  $E = C_{X,K}$ , i.e.,  $E$  coincides with its own centralizer in  $\text{End}^0(X)$ . It follows from the Skolem-Noether theorem that  $\text{Aut}_C(\text{End}^0(X)) = \text{End}^0(X)^*/C^*$ . This implies that the group

$$\text{Aut}_E(\text{End}^0(X)) = C_{X,K}^*/C^* = E^*/C^*$$

is commutative. It follows that  $\kappa_{X,4}(\tilde{G}_{4,X,K})$  is commutative. Since  $\tilde{G}_{4,X,K}$  is perfect,  $\kappa_{X,4}(\tilde{G}_{4,X,K})$  is perfect commutative and therefore trivial, i.e.,  $\text{End}^0(X) = \text{End}_K^0(X)$ .

Assume that  $E \neq C_{X,K}$ . This means that the group  $\Gamma := \kappa_E(\tilde{G}_{4,X,K})$  is not  $\{1\}$ , i.e.,  $\ker(\kappa_E) \neq \tilde{G}_{4,X,K}$ . Clearly,  $\Gamma$  is a finite perfect subgroup of  $\text{Aut}_E(C_{X,K})$ .

The minimality of  $\tau_{2,X}$  and the simplicity of  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  imply the existence of a minimal cover

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K},$$

thanks to Remark 2.1.

Since  $C_{X,K}$  is a central simple  $E$ -algebra, all its automorphisms are inner, i.e.,  $\text{Aut}_E(C_{X,K}) = C_{X,K}^*/E^*$ . Let  $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  be the universal central extension of  $\Gamma$ . It is well-known [30, Ch. 2, Sect. 9] that  $\Delta$  is a finite perfect group. The universality property implies that  $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  is a minimal cover and the inclusion map  $\Gamma \subset C_{X,K}^*/E^*$  lifts (uniquely) to a homomorphism  $\pi : \Delta \rightarrow C_{X,K}^*$ . Clearly,  $\ker(\pi)$  lies in the kernel of  $\Delta \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  and we get a minimal cover

$$\pi(\Delta) \cong \Delta / \ker(\pi) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma,$$

thanks to Remark 2.1. Taking the compositions of minimal covers  $\pi(\Delta) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ , we obtain a minimal cover  $\pi(\Delta) \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ . If we put

$$\Pi := \pi(\Delta) \subset C_{X,K}^*.$$

then we get a minimal cover

$$\Pi \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}.$$

The equality (1) means that the centralizer of  $\pi(\Delta) = \Pi$  in  $C_{X,K}$  coincides with  $E$ . It follows that if  $E[\Pi]$  is the group  $E$ -algebra of  $\Pi$  then the inclusion  $\Pi \subset C_{X,K}^*$  induces the  $E$ -algebra homomorphism  $\omega : E[\Pi] \rightarrow C_{X,K}$  such that the centralizer of its image in  $C_{X,K}$  coincides with  $E$ .

We claim that  $\omega(E[\Pi]) = C_{X,K}$  and therefore  $C_{X,K}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $E[\Pi]$ . This claim follows easily from the next lemma that was proven in [38, Lemma 1.7]

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero,  $T$  a semisimple finite-dimensional  $F$ -algebra,  $S$  a finite-dimensional central simple  $F$ -algebra,  $\beta : T \rightarrow S$  an  $F$ -algebra homomorphism that sends 1 to 1. Suppose that the centralizer of the image  $\beta(T)$  in  $S$  coincides with the center  $F$ . Then  $\beta$  is surjective, i. e.  $\beta(T) = S$ .*

□

**Theorem 2.6.** *Suppose that  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra,  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is a simple non-abelian group, whose order is not a divisor of  $2\dim(X)$  and  $\text{End}_{\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}}(X_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_4$ .*

*Then the center  $C$  of  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field. In addition, there exists a finite separable field extension  $L/K$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ , the map  $\tau_{2,X} : \tilde{G}_{4,X,L} \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,L}$  is surjective and a minimal cover, the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra*

$E := \text{End}_L^0(X)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field and  $C \subset \text{End}_L^0(X)$ . In particular,  $C$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field. If  $\text{End}^0(X) \neq \text{End}_L^0(X)$  and  $C_{X,L}$  is the centralizer of  $E$  in  $\text{End}^0(X)$  then there exist a finite perfect group  $\Pi \subset C_{X,L}^*$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  that is a minimal cover. In addition, the induced homomorphism

$$E[\Pi] \rightarrow C_{X,L}$$

is surjective, i.e.,  $C_{X,L}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of the group algebra  $E[\Pi]$ .

*Proof.* Choose a field  $L$  as in Remark 2.3. Then  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ , the map

$$\tau_{2,X} : \tilde{G}_{4,X,L} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$$

is surjective and a minimal cover. We have

$$\text{End}_L(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{Gal}(L)}(X_2) = \text{End}_{\tilde{G}_{2,X,L}}(X_2) = \mathbb{F}_4.$$

It follows that the rank of the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\text{End}_L(X)$  is 1 or 2; Lemma 1.3 of [38] implies that  $\text{End}_L(X)$  has no zero divisors. This implies that  $\text{End}_L^0(X) = \text{End}_L(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a division algebra of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension 1 or 2. This means that  $E = \text{End}_L^0(X)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field.

Recall that the center  $C$  of  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a number field, whose degree  $[C : \mathbb{Q}]$  divides  $2\dim(X)$ . The group  $\tilde{G}_{4,X,L}$  acts via automorphisms on  $C$  and

$$C^{\tilde{G}_{4,X,L}} = C \cap \bigcap \text{End}_L^0(X)$$

is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field. Since  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  has no normal subgroups of index dividing  $2\dim(X)$ , the same is true for  $\tilde{G}_{4,X,L}$  and therefore  $\tilde{G}_{4,X,L}$  acts on  $C$  trivially, i.e.,  $C \subset \text{End}_L^0(X)$ . In order to finish the proof, one has only to apply Theorem 2.4 (to  $L$  instead of  $K$ ).  $\square$

**Definition 2.7.** We say that a group is *FTKL-exceptional* if it is one of the following:

- (i)  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(q)$  for some even  $q$  and  $n \geq 2$ , except  $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$  and  $\text{Sp}_6(2)$ ;
- (ii)  $\Omega_{2n}^\pm(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for some even  $q$  and  $n \geq 4$ , except  $\Omega_8^+(2)$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{L}_4(q)$  for some even  $q$ , except  $\text{L}_4(2)$ ; or
- (iv)  $\text{G}_2(q)$  for some  $q = 2^{2e}$ , except  $\text{G}_2(4)$ .

These are exactly the groups of Lie type in characteristic 2 that are listed in the table in Theorem 3 on p. 316 in [15].

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let us assume that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra with the center  $C$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is a simple non-abelian group, whose order is not a divisor of  $2\dim(X)$  and  $\text{End}_{\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}}(X_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_4$ . Assume, in addition, that  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is a known simple group that is not FTKL-exceptional. Suppose also that  $\dim(X)$  coincides with the smallest positive integers  $d$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{PGL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ . Then:*

- (i) *The center  $C$  of  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or quadratic field.*
- (ii) *Either  $\text{End}^0(X) = C$  or the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *There exists a finite algebraic field extension  $L/K$  such that  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,L} = \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ , the overfield  $L$  is 2-balanced with respect to  $X$ , the algebra  $E := \text{End}_L^0(X)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field,  $C = E$  and the following conditions hold.*

*There exist a finite perfect group  $\Pi \subset \text{End}^0(X)^*$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  that is a minimal cover and a central extension. In addition, the induced homomorphism*

$$E[\Pi] \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X)$$

*is surjective, i.e.,  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of the group algebra  $E[\Pi]$ .*

- (2) *If  $C = \mathbb{Q}$  then  $X$  enjoys one of the following two properties:*
- (a)  *$X$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an elliptic curve without complex multiplication.*
  - (b)  *$\dim(X)$  is even and  $X$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an abelian surface  $Y$  such that  $\text{End}^0(Y)$  is an indefinite quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.*
- (3) *If  $C \neq \mathbb{Q}$  then  $C$  is an imaginary quadratic field and  $X$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Using Theorem 2.6 and replacing if necessary  $K$  by its suitable extension, we may assume that  $K$  is 2-balanced with respect to  $X$ , the algebra  $E := \text{End}_K^0(X)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field,  $C \subset E$  and the following conditions hold.

*Either  $\text{End}^0(X) = E$  or there exist a finite perfect group  $\Pi \subset C_{X,K}^*$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  that is a minimal cover and such that the induced homomorphism*

$$E[\Pi] \rightarrow C_{X,K}$$

*is surjective, i.e.,  $C_{X,K}$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of the group algebra  $E[\Pi]$ . (Here as above  $C_{X,K}$  is the centralizer of  $E$  in  $\text{End}^0(X)$ ).*

Assume that  $\text{End}^0(X) \neq E$ . We are going to prove that  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is a central extension, using results of Feit-Tits and Kleidman-Liebeck [8, 15]. Without loss of generality we may assume that there is a field embedding  $K_a \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and consider  $X$  as complex abelian variety. Let  $t_X$  be the Lie algebra of  $X$  that is a  $\dim(X)$ -dimensional complex vector space. By functoriality, this gives us the embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} j : \text{End}^0(X) &\hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_X) \cong M_{\dim(X)}(\mathbb{C}), \\ j : \text{End}^0(X)^* &\hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(t_X) \cong \text{GL}(\dim(X), \mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, only central elements of  $\Pi$  go to scalars under  $j$ . It follows that there exists a central subgroup  $Z$  in  $\Pi$  such that  $j(Z)$  consists of scalars and  $\Pi/Z \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}(\dim(X), \mathbb{C})$ . The simplicity of  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  implies that  $Z$  lies in the kernel of  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  and the induced map  $\Pi/Z \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is also a minimal cover. It follows from Theorem on p. 1092 of [8] and Theorem 3 on p. 316 of [15] that  $\Pi/Z \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  is a central extension of  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ . Since  $\Pi$  is a central extension of  $\Pi/Z$ , it follows [30] that  $\Pi$  is a central extension of  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ .

Now notice that  $t_X$  carries a natural structure of  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ -module. Assume that  $E \neq \mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $E$  is a quadratic field. Let  $\sigma, \tau : E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the two different embeddings

of  $E$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\tau}$$

with

$$\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} = E \otimes_{E, \sigma} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbb{C}_{\tau} = E \otimes_{E, \tau} \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$$

and  $t_X$  splits into a direct sum

$$t_X = \mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\tau} t_X.$$

Suppose that both  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X$  and  $\mathbb{C}_{\tau} t_X$  do not vanish. Then the  $\mathbb{C}$ -dimension  $d_{\sigma}$  of non-zero  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X$  is strictly less than  $\dim(X)$ . Clearly,  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X$  is  $C_{X,K}$ -stable and we get a nontrivial homomorphism

$$C_{X,K} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X) \cong M_{d_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{C})$$

that must be an embedding in light of the simplicity of  $C_{X,K}$ . This gives us an embedding

$$C_{X,K}^* \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X) \cong \text{GL}(d_{\sigma}, \mathbb{C}).$$

One may easily check that all the elements of  $\Pi$  that go to scalars in  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X)$  constitute a central subgroup  $Z_{\sigma}$  that lies in the kernel of  $\Pi \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ . This gives us a central extension  $\Pi/Z_{\sigma} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$  that is a minimal cover and an embedding  $\Pi/Z_{\sigma} \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X) \cong \text{GL}(d_{\sigma}, \mathbb{C})$ . Since  $d_{\sigma} < \dim(X)$ , Theorem on p. 1092 of [8] and Theorem 3 on p. 316 of [15] provide us with a contradiction. It follows that either  $\mathbb{C}_{\sigma} t_X$  or  $\mathbb{C}_{\tau} t_X$  does vanish. We may assume that  $\mathbb{C}_{\tau} t_X = 0$ . This means that each  $e \in E$  acts on  $t_X$  as multiplication by complex number  $\sigma(e)$ , i.e.,  $j(E)$  consists of scalars. Recall that the exponential map identifies  $X(\mathbb{C})$  with the complex torus  $t_X/\Lambda$  where  $\Lambda$  is a discrete lattice of rank  $2\dim(X)$ . In addition,  $\Lambda$  is  $j(\text{End}_K(X))$ -stable where  $\text{End}_K(X)$  is an order in the quadratic field  $\text{End}_K^0(X)$ . Now the discreteness of  $\Lambda$  implies that  $E$  cannot be real and therefore is an imaginary quadratic field. It follows easily that  $X$  is isogenous over  $\mathbb{C}$  to a self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by  $E$ . In particular,  $E = C$  and  $C_{X,K} = \text{End}^0(X)$ .

Now let us assume that  $E = \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $C_{X,K} = \text{End}^0(X)$ . Let  $Y$  be an absolutely simple abelian variety such that  $X$  is isogenous to a self-product  $Y^r$  for some positive integer  $r$  with  $r \mid \dim(X)$ . Then  $\text{End}^0(X) \cong M_r(\text{End}^0(Y))$ . In particular, the center of the division algebra  $\text{End}^0(Y)$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It follows from Albert's classification [21] that  $\text{End}^0(Y)$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

If  $\text{End}^0(Y) = \mathbb{Q}$  then  $\text{End}^0(X) \cong M_r(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\Pi/Z \hookrightarrow \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{PGL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows that  $r = \dim(X)$ , i.e.  $Y$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication.

Suppose that  $\text{End}^0(Y)$  is a quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Since  $\dim(Y) = \dim(X)/r$  and we live in characteristic zero,  $2r$  divides  $\dim(X)$ . Clearly,

$$\text{End}^0(Y) \subset \text{End}^0(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$$

and therefore

$$\text{End}^0(X) \cong M_r(\text{End}^0(Y)) \hookrightarrow M_{2r}(\mathbb{C}).$$

This implies that  $\Pi \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(2r, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows that  $2r = \dim(X)$ , i.e.,  $\dim(Y) = 2$ . It follows from the classification of endomorphism algebras of abelian surfaces [22, Sect. 6] that  $\text{End}^0(Y)$  is an *indefinite* quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let us assume that  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. Suppose that  $d := \dim(X) = (q-1)/2$  where  $q \geq 5$  is an odd prime power. Suppose that  $\tilde{G}_{2,X,K} \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and  $\text{End}_{\tilde{G}_{2,X,K}}(X_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_4$ . Then one of the following two conditions holds:*

- (i)  $\text{End}^0(X) = \mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field. In particular,  $X$  is an absolutely simple abelian variety.
- (ii)  $q$  is congruent to 3 modulo 4 and  $X$  is  $K_a$ -isogenous to a self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ .

*Proof.* It is well-known [10, Sect. 4.15] that  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is the universal central extension of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  and therefore every projective representation of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  lifts to a linear representation of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . The well-known list of irreducible representations of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  over complex numbers [6, Sect. 38] tells us that the smallest degree of a nontrivial representation of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is  $(q-1)/2 = d$ . This implies that we are in position to apply Theorem 2.8. In particular,  $C$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field. We may and will assume that  $\text{End}^0(X) \neq C$ .

We need to rule out the following possibilities:

- (1)  $\dim(X)$  is even and  $X$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an abelian surface  $Y$  such that  $\text{End}^0(Y)$  is an indefinite quaternion  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. In particular,  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a  $d^2$ -dimensional central simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.
- (2)  $q$  is congruent to 1 modulo 4 and  $X$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication. In particular,  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a  $d^2$ -dimensional central simple algebra over the imaginary quadratic field  $C$  unramified at  $\infty$ .
- (3)  $X$  is isogenous over  $K_a$  to a self-product of an elliptic curve without complex multiplication. In particular,  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a  $d^2$ -dimensional central simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra.

By Theorem 2.8, there exist a finite perfect group  $\Pi$  and a minimal central cover  $\Pi \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a quotient of the group algebra  $E[\Pi]$  where  $E = C$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or an imaginary quadratic field. It follows easily that  $\Pi = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  or  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , so we may always view  $\text{End}^0(X)$  as a simple quotient (direct summand)  $D$  of  $E[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ . By Theorem 2.8,  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is a central simple  $E$ -algebra of dimension  $d^2$ .

Let us consider the composition

$$\mathbb{Q}[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)] \subset E[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)] \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X).$$

Let  $D$  be the *simple* direct summand of  $\mathbb{Q}[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)]$ , whose image in the *simple*  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is *not* zero. We write  $B \subset \text{End}^0(X)$  for the image of  $D$ : it is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -subalgebra isomorphic to  $D$ . The induced map  $D \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X)$  is injective, because  $D$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. On the other hand,  $D_E = D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E$  is a direct summand of  $E[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)]$  and the image of  $D_E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X)$  is a non-zero ideal of  $\text{End}^0(X)$ . Since  $\text{End}^0(X)$  is simple,  $D_E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X)$  is surjective. In particular,  $B$  generates  $\text{End}^0(X)$  as  $E$ -vector space and the center of  $D$  embeds into the center  $E$  of  $\text{End}^0(X)$ . This implies that the center of  $D$  is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or isomorphic to  $E$ . In addition, if the center of  $D$  is isomorphic to  $E$  then  $B$  contains  $E$ , i.e.,  $B$  is a  $E$ -vector subspace of  $\text{End}^0(X)$  and therefore coincides with  $\text{End}^0(X)$ : this implies that  $\text{End}^0(X) \cong D$ .



Assume that the center of  $D$  is isomorphic to  $E$ . Then  $\text{End}^0(X) \cong D$  and therefore  $D$  is a central simple  $E$ -algebra of dimension  $d^2$ . This means that the simple direct summand  $D$  of  $\mathbb{Q}[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)]$  corresponds to an irreducible (complex) character of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of degree  $d$  as in Lemma 24.7 of [6]. These simple direct summands are described explicitly in [13, 9]. In particular, if  $q$  is congruent to 1 modulo 4 but is not a square then the center of  $D$  is a real quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ , which is not the case. This implies that  $q$  is congruent to 3 modulo 4: in this case the center of  $D$  is an imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$  and therefore  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ . It follows from Theorem 2.8 that  $X$  is  $K_a$ -isogenous to a self-product of an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ .

Now assume that the center of  $D$  is *not* isomorphic to  $E$ . Then it must be  $\mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $D$  is a central simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. It follows that  $D_E$  is a central simple  $E$ -algebra and therefore the surjective homomorphism  $D_E \rightarrow \text{End}^0(X)$  is injective. It follows that  $D_E \cong \text{End}^0(X)$ ; in particular, the central simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra  $D$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -dimension  $d^2$ . As above, this means that the simple direct summand  $D$  corresponds to an irreducible (complex) character of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of degree  $d$ . Since the center of  $D$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it follows from results of [13, 9] that  $q$  is a square, which is not the case. This ends the proof.  $\square$

### 3. HYPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

Suppose that  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 5$  without multiple roots. Let  $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$  be the set of roots of  $f$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  consists of  $n$  elements. Let  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K_a$  be the splitting field of  $f$ . Clearly,  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K$  is a Galois extension and we write  $\text{Gal}(f)$  for its Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ . By definition,  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$  permutes elements of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ ; further we identify  $\text{Gal}(f)$  with the corresponding subgroup of  $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ , where  $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  is the group of permutations of  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ .

We write  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  for the  $n$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space of maps  $h : \mathfrak{R}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ . The space  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  is provided with a natural action of  $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  defined as follows. Each  $s \in \text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  sends a map  $h : \mathfrak{R}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  to  $sh : \alpha \mapsto h(s^{-1}(\alpha))$ . The permutation module  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  contains the  $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ -stable hyperplane

$$(\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^0 = \{h : \mathfrak{R}_f \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \mid \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f} h(\alpha) = 0\}$$

and the  $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ -invariant line  $\mathbb{F}_2 \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  where  $1_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  is the constant function 1. Clearly,  $(\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^0$  contains  $\mathbb{F}_2 \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  if and only if  $n$  is even.

If  $n$  is even then let us define the  $\text{Gal}(f)$ -module  $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f} := (\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^0 / (\mathbb{F}_2 \cdot 1_{\mathfrak{R}_f})$ . If  $n$  is odd then let us put  $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f} := (\mathbb{F}_2^{\mathfrak{R}_f})^0$ . If  $n \neq 4$  the natural representation of  $\text{Gal}(f)$  is faithful, because in this case the natural homomorphism  $\text{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f})$  is injective.

The canonical surjection  $\text{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K) = \text{Gal}(f)$  provides  $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  with a natural structure of  $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module. It is well-known that the  $\text{Gal}(K)$ -modules  $J(C_f)_2$  and  $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  are isomorphic (see for instance [23, 24, 33]). It follows easily that  $K(J(C_f)_2) = K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  and  $\tilde{G}_{2,J(C_f),K} = \text{Gal}(f)$ .

Let us put  $X = J(C_f)$  and  $G := \tilde{G}_{2,X,K}$ . Then  $G \cong \text{Gal}(f)$ , and the  $G$ -modules  $X_2$  and  $Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}$  are isomorphic. We freely interchange these two modules throughout this section.

**Example 3.1.** Suppose that  $n = q + 1$  where  $q \geq 5$  is a power of an odd prime  $p$ . Suppose that  $\text{Gal}(f) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Assume that  $f(x)$  is irreducible, i.e.,  $\text{Gal}(f) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts transitively on the  $(q + 1)$ -element set  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ . If  $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}_f$  then its stabilizer  $\text{Gal}(f)_\beta$  is a subgroup of index  $q + 1$  and therefore contains a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . It follows from the classification of subgroups of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  [30, Theorem 6.25 on page 412] and explicit description of its Sylow  $p$ -subgroup and their normalizers [12, p. 191–192] that  $\text{Gal}(f)_\beta$  is conjugate to the (Borel) subgroup of upper-triangular matrices and therefore the  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -set  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  is isomorphic to the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$  with the standard action of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  (by fractional-linear transformations), which is well-known to be doubly transitive [20].

Assume, in addition that  $q$  is congruent to  $\pm 3$  modulo 8. Then it is known [20] that

$$\text{End}_{\text{Gal}(f)}(Q_{\mathfrak{R}_f}) = \mathbb{F}_4.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$  and  $n = q + 1$  where  $q \geq 5$  is a prime power that is congruent to  $\pm 3$  modulo 8. Suppose that  $\text{Gal}(f) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts doubly transitively on  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  (where  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  is identified with the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ). Then  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f))$  is a simple  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra, i.e.  $J(C_f)$  is either absolutely simple or isogenous to a power of an absolutely simple abelian variety.*

*Proof.* See [38, Theorem 3.10]. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* The result follows from Theorem 2.9 combined with Example 3.1 and Theorem 3.2. □

#### 4. CRITERIA FOR ABSOLUTE SIMPLICITY

Sometimes, it is possible to rule out the second outcome of Theorem 1.1. First, recall Goursat's lemma [16, p. 75]:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be finite group, and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G_1 \times G_2$  such that the restrictions  $p_1 : H \rightarrow G_1$  and  $p_2 : H \rightarrow G_2$  of the projection maps are surjective. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the normal subgroups of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively, such the groups  $H_1 \times \{1\}$  and  $\{1\} \times H_2$  are kernels of  $p_2$  and  $p_1$ , respectively. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\gamma : G_1/H_1 \cong G_2/H_2$  such that  $H$  coincides with the preimage in  $G_1 \times G_2$  of the graph of  $\gamma$  in  $G_1/H_1 \times G_2/H_2$ .*

**Example 4.2.** Let  $G_1$  be a finite simple group and  $G_2$  be a finite group that does not admit  $G_1$  as a quotient. If  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G_1 \times G_2$  that satisfies the conditions of Goursat's lemma, then  $H = G_1 \times G_2$ .

Indeed, since  $G_1$  is simple,  $H_1 = \{1\}$  or  $G_1$ . We have  $H_1 \neq \{1\}$ , since otherwise  $G_1/H_1 \cong G_1$  and no quotient of  $G_2$  is isomorphic to  $G_1$ . Therefore,  $H_1 = G_1$ ,  $G_1/H_1 \cong G_2/H_2 = \{1\}$ , and  $H_2 = G_2$ . Since  $G_1/H_1 \times G_2/H_2$  is a trivial group, the graph of  $\gamma$  coincides with  $G_1/H_1 \times G_2/H_2$ , and its preimage  $H$  coincides with  $G_1 \times G_2$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero. Suppose that  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is a polynomial of degree  $n \geq 5$  without multiple roots. Let us consider the hyperelliptic curve  $C_f : y^2 = f(x)$  and its jacobian  $J(C_f)$ . Suppose that  $h(x) \in K[x]$  is an irreducible cubic polynomial and let us consider the elliptic curve  $Y : y^2 = h(x)$ .*

*Let us assume that  $f(x)$  and  $h(x)$  enjoy the following properties:*

- (1)  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for some odd prime power  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$  with  $n = q + 1$ , and  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$  acts doubly transitively on  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  (where  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  is identified with the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ );
- (2)  $\text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_h)/K) = \text{SS}_3$ .

Then  $\text{Hom}(J(C_f), Y) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}(Y, J(C_f)) = 0$ . In particular,  $J(C_f)$  is not  $K_a$ -isogenous to a self-product of  $Y$ .

*Proof.* First, we prove that  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  and  $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$ . Let us put  $G_1 := \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ ,  $G_2 := \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_h)/K)$ , and  $H := \text{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f, \mathfrak{R}_h)/K)$ , the Galois group of the compositum of  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  and  $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$  over  $K$ . By Theorem 1.14 of [16],  $H$  can be considered to be a subgroup of  $G_1 \times G_2$ , where the Galois restriction maps coincide with restrictions of projection maps  $p_i : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_i$ , with  $i = 1, 2$ , to  $H$ . It follows from Example 4.2 that  $H \cong G_1 \times G_2$ , and  $K(\mathfrak{R}_f)$  and  $K(\mathfrak{R}_h)$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$ . The equalities  $\text{Hom}(J(C_f), Y) = 0$  and  $\text{Hom}(Y, J(C_f)) = 0$  follow from the definitions (s) and (p3) and Theorem 2.5 of [36]. Since for any positive integer  $r$  we have  $\text{Hom}(J(C_f), Y^r) = \prod_{i=1}^r \text{Hom}(J(C_f), Y)$ , we conclude that  $\text{Hom}(J(C_f), Y^r) = 0$ .  $\square$

The following assertion will be proven in Section 5.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $p > 3$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Let us put  $\omega = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}$  and let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$  be the ring of integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_2 = \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O}$  be the order of conductor 2 in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ .*

- (i) *The principal ideal (2) is prime in  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $\mathfrak{b}$  be a proper fractional  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  and  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b}$  be the  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal generated by  $\mathfrak{b}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{b}$  contains  $2\mathfrak{a}$  as a subgroup of index 2 and  $\mathfrak{a}$  contains  $\mathfrak{b}$  as a subgroup of index 2.*
- (iii) *Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a subgroup of index 2 in  $\mathfrak{a}$  then it is a proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ , i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{O}_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}) \mid z\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{b}\};$$

*in addition,  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b}$ . There are exactly three index 2 subgroups in  $\mathfrak{a}$ ; they are mutually non-isomorphic as  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideals.*

- (iv) *If  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  then  $3\mathfrak{h}$  is the number of classes of proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideals.*

We write  $j$  for the classical modular function [17, Ch. 3, Sect. 3].

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Let  $q \geq 11$  be an odd power of  $p$ . (In particular,  $q \equiv p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ .) Let us put*

$$\omega := \frac{-1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}, \quad \alpha := j(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad K := \mathbb{Q}(j(\omega)) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

*Suppose that  $f(x) \in K[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $q + 1$  such that  $\text{Gal}(f/K) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts doubly transitively on  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  (where  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  is identified with the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ).*

*Then  $J(C_f)$  is an absolutely simple abelian variety, and  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f)) = \mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field.*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ . Since  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ , the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  coincides with  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega$ . If  $p > 3$  let us consider the polynomial

$$h_p(x) := x^3 - \frac{27\alpha}{4(\alpha - 1728)}x - \frac{27\alpha}{4(\alpha - 1728)} \in K[x].$$

If  $p = 3$  then

$$\omega = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}, \quad \alpha = j(\omega) = 0, \quad K = \mathbb{Q}$$

and we put

$$h_3(x) := x^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x] = K[x].$$

The elliptic curve  $Y : y^2 = h_p(x)$  is defined over  $K$  and its  $j$ -invariant coincides with  $\alpha = j(\omega)$ , i.e.,  $Y(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega)$ . Hence  $Y$  admits complex multiplication by  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega = \mathcal{O}$ .

The following Lemma will be proven at the end of this Section.

**Lemma 4.6.** *The polynomial  $h_p(x)$  is irreducible over  $K$  and its Galois group  $\text{Gal}(h_p/K) \cong SS_3$ .*

Combining Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 4.3, we conclude that  $J(C_f)$  is not isogenous to a self-product of  $Y$ . Now the result follows from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.6.* The case  $p = 3$  is easy. So, further we assume that  $p > 3$ . First, check that the discriminant  $\Delta$  of  $h_p$  is not a square in  $K$ . Indeed, we have  $\Delta = 1458^2 \alpha^2 / (\alpha - 1728)^3$ , so  $\Delta$  is a square in  $K$  if and only if  $\alpha - 1728$  is. According to [1, p. 288], if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $\alpha$  is real and negative. Therefore the purely imaginary  $\sqrt{\alpha - 1728}$  does not lie in the real number field  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Now it suffices to check that the cubic polynomial  $h_p$  is irreducible. Suppose that this is not the case, i.e.,  $h_p$  has a root in  $K$ . This means that  $Y$  has a  $K$ -rational point of order 2 and therefore there exists an elliptic curve  $Y'$  over  $K$  and a degree 2 isogeny  $Y \rightarrow Y'$ . By duality, we get a degree 2 isogeny  $Y' \rightarrow Y$ . This allows us to identify  $Y'(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\mathbb{C}/\mathfrak{b}$  where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a subgroup of index 2 in  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\omega = \mathcal{O}$ . By Theorem 4.4(ii),  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideal. The classical theory of complex multiplication [27, Th. 5.7 on p. 123] tells us that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(Y'))/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  is an abelian extension, whose degree coincides with the number of classes of proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideals. By Theorem 4.4(iv),  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(Y')) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})] = 3\mathfrak{h}$ . On the other hand, since  $Y'$  is defined over  $K$ , the number  $j(Y') \in K$  and therefore

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(Y')) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(Y)).$$

However,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(Y))$  is the absolute class field of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  and it is well-known [26, 1], [27, Th. 5.7 on p. 123] that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(Y)) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})] = \mathfrak{h}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{h} < 3\mathfrak{h}$ , we obtain the desired contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** If  $p = 3, 11, 19, 43, 67$  or  $163$  then  $j(\omega) \in \mathbb{Z}$  [26], so  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(j(\omega)) = \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ . Let  $q \geq 11$  be an odd power of  $p$ . (In particular,  $q \equiv p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ .) Suppose that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $q + 1$  such that  $\text{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  acts doubly transitively on  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  (where  $\mathfrak{R}_f$  is identified with the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ ).*

*Then  $J(C_f)$  is an absolutely simple abelian variety, and  $\text{End}^0(J(C_f)) = \mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field.*

*Proof.* Let us put

$$\omega := \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-p}}{2}, \quad \alpha := j(\omega) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad K := \mathbb{Q}(j(\omega)) \subset \mathbb{C}.$$

Since simple non-abelian  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  does not have a subgroup of index 2,

$$\mathrm{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})).$$

Since  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is perfect and  $K\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})(j(\omega))$  is abelian over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$ ,

$$\mathrm{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{Gal}(f/K\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})).$$

Since

$$\mathrm{Gal}(f/K\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(f/K) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}),$$

we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(f/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(f/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

acts doubly transitively on  $\mathfrak{R}_f$ . In order to finish the proof, one has only to apply Corollary 4.5.  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4.4

There is a positive integer  $k$  such that  $p = 8k+3$ . It follows that  $\omega^2 + \omega + (2k+1) = 0$ . This implies that the 4-element algebra  $\mathcal{O}/2\mathcal{O}$  contains a subalgebra isomorphic to the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_4$  and therefore coincides with  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . This means that (2) is prime in  $\mathcal{O}$ . So, this proves (i).

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  and  $\mathfrak{a} := \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b}$ . Clearly,  $2\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $2\mathfrak{a}$  are  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals,  $\mathfrak{b}$  does not coincide with  $\mathfrak{a}$  nor with  $2\mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $2\mathfrak{a}$  has index 4 in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , the group  $\mathfrak{b}$  has index 2 in  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $2\mathfrak{a}$  has index 2 in  $\mathfrak{b}$ . This proves (ii).

Now, suppose that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a fractional  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  and a subgroup  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  satisfies  $2\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ . If  $\mathfrak{b}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal then the unique factorization of  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideals and the fact that (2) is prime imply that either  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$  or  $\mathfrak{b} = 2\mathfrak{a}$ . So, if  $\mathfrak{b}$  has index 2 in  $\mathfrak{a}$ , it is neither  $\mathfrak{a}$  nor  $2\mathfrak{a}$  and therefore is *not* an  $\mathcal{O}$ -ideal.

On the other hand, it is clear that  $\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$  and  $2\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b} \subset 2\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{b}$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a proper  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideal. This proves the first assertion of (iii). We have  $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$  but  $\mathfrak{b} \neq \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b}$ . Since the index of  $\mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  is 2, we conclude that  $\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$ . This proves the second assertion of (iii).

Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is a free commutative group of rank 2, it contains exactly three subgroups of index 2. Let  $\mathfrak{b}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_2$  be two distinct subgroups of index 2 in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . We have

$$\mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{a} = \mathcal{O}\mathfrak{b}_2.$$

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{b}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_2$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{O}_2$ -ideals. This means that there exists a non-zero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  such that  $\lambda\mathfrak{b}_1 = \mathfrak{b}_2$ . It follows that  $\lambda\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$  and therefore  $\lambda$  is a unit in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $p > 3$ , we have  $\lambda = \pm 1$  and therefore  $\mathfrak{b}_2 = \mathfrak{b}_1$ . This proves the last assertion of (iii).

The assertion (iv) follows easily from (ii) and (iii). (It is also a special case of Exercise 11 in Sect. 7 of Ch. II in [2] and of Exercise 4.12 in Section 4.4 of [27]).

## 6. EXAMPLES

**Example 6.1.** Let  $S$  be a transcendental over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $T = 2^8 3^5 / (11S^2 + 1)$ , and put

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11,S}(x) := & (x^3 - 66x - 308)^4 \\ & - 9T(11x^5 - 44x^4 - 1573x^3 + 1892x^2 + 57358x + 103763) \\ & - 3T^2(x - 11). \end{aligned}$$

According to Table 10 of the Appendix in [19],  $\text{Gal}(f_{11,S}/\mathbb{Q}(S)) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ . It can be verified using MAGMA [3] that when  $s = m/n$  for any nonzero integers  $-5 \leq m, n \leq 5$ , then  $\text{Gal}(f_{11,s}/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{11})$ . Consider the hyperelliptic curve

$$C_{11,s} : y^2 = f_{11,s}(x)$$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by any one of these  $s$ . By Theorem 4.8 the 5-dimensional abelian variety  $J(C_{11,s})$  is absolutely simple. For example, if we put  $s = 1$ , then we obtain a hyperelliptic curve

$$\begin{aligned} C_{11,1} : y^2 = & x^{12} - 264x^{10} - 1232x^9 + 26136x^8 + 243936x^7 - 580800x^6 \\ & - 16612992x^5 - 54104688x^4 + 310712512x^3 + 2391092352x^2 \\ & + 4956865152x + 5044849216 \end{aligned}$$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $J(C_{11,1})$  absolutely simple.

**Example 6.2.** If we define

$$\begin{aligned} f_{13,S}(x) := & (x^2 + 36)(x^3 - x^2 + 35x - 27)^4 \\ & - 4T(7x^2 - 2x + 247)(x^2 + 39)^6/27 \end{aligned}$$

with  $T = 1/(39S^2 + 1)$  then again [19] we have  $\text{Gal}(f_{13,S}/\mathbb{Q}(S)) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{13})$ . Similarly, we checked using MAGMA that when  $s = m/n$  for any nonzero integers  $-5 \leq m, n \leq 5$ , then  $\text{Gal}(f_{13,s}/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{13})$ . If we define

$$C_{13,s} : y^2 = f_{13,s}(x)$$

over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then by Theorem 2.8 the 6-dimensional abelian variety  $J(C_{13,s})$  is absolutely simple. As an example, take  $s = -1$  to get the hyperelliptic curve

$$\begin{aligned} C_{13,-1} : y^2 = & 263/270 x^{14} - 539/135 x^{13} + 9451/54 x^{12} - 10114/15 x^{11} \\ & + 376363/30 x^{10} - 45487 x^9 + 891605/2 x^8 - 1533844 x^7 \\ & + 15279043/2 x^6 - 25943931 x^5 + 391472991/10 x^4 \\ & - 896502438/5 x^3 - 780396201/2 x^2 - 365687757/5 x \\ & - 31998670461/10 \end{aligned}$$

defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $J(C_{13,-1})$  absolutely simple.

See [18] for other examples of irreducible polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}(T)$  of degrees  $n = p + 1$  with  $p = 11, 13, 19, 29, 37$ , whose Galois groups are isomorphic to  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . These polynomials can be used in a manner similar to that of Examples 6.1 and 6.2, in order to construct examples of absolutely simple abelian varieties over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of dimensions 5, 6, 9, 14, 18 respectively, whose endomorphism algebra is either  $\mathbb{Q}$  or a quadratic field.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. J. Birch, *Weber's Class Invariants*. Mathematika **16** (1969), 283–294.
- [2] Z.I. Borevich, I.R. Shafarevich, Number Theory, Third Edition, Moscow, Nauka, 1985.
- [3] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, C. Playoust, *The Magma Algebra System I: The User Language*. J. Symb. Comp. **24** (1997), 235–265; <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>.
- [4] A. Brumer, *The Rank of  $J_0(N)$* . Astérisque **228** (1995), 41–68.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, Algèbre, Chapitre VIII, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [6] L. Dornhoff, Group Representation Theory, Part A. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1972.
- [7] A. Elkin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians with real multiplication*. J. Number Theory **117** (2006), 53–86.
- [8] W. Feit, J. Tits, *Projective representations of minimum degree of group extensions*. Canad. J. Math. **30** (1978), 1092–1102.
- [9] W. Feit, *The computations of some Schur indices*. Israel J. Math. **46** (1983), 274–300.
- [10] D. Gorenstein, Finite Simple Groups, An Introduction to their classification, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1982.
- [11] K. Hashimoto, *On Brumer's family of RM-curves of genus two*. Tohoku Math. J. **52** (2000), 475–488.
- [12] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1967.
- [13] G. Janusz, *Simple components of  $\mathbb{Q}[\mathrm{SL}(2, q)]$* . Commun. Algebra **1** (1974), 1–22.
- [14] T. Katsura, *On the structure of singular abelian varieties*. Proc. Japan Acad. **51** (1975), no. 4, 224–228.
- [15] P. B. Kleidman, M. W. Liebeck, *On a theorem of Feit and Tits*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), 315–322.
- [16] S. Lang, Algebra, rev. 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [17] S. Lang, Elliptic functions, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [18] G. Malle, *Polynome mit Galoisgruppen  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(p)$  und  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(p)$  über  $\mathbb{Q}(t)$* . Commun. Algebra **21** (1993), 511–526.
- [19] G. Malle, B. H. Matzat, Inverse Galois Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [20] B. Mortimer, *The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **41** (1980), 1–20.
- [21] D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, 2nd edn, Oxford University Press, 1974.
- [22] F. Oort, *Endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties*. In: Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of M. Nagata (Ed. H. Hijikata et al), Kinokuniya Cy, Tokyo 1988; Vol. **II**, pp. 469 - 502.
- [23] B. Poonen, E. Schaefer, *Explicit descent for Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line*. J. reine angew. Math. **488** (1997), 141–188.
- [24] E. Schaefer, *Computing a Selmer group of a Jacobian using functions on the curve*. Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 447–471.
- [25] C. Schoen, *Produkte Abelscher Varietäten und Moduln über Ordnungen*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992), 115–123.
- [26] J.-P. Serre, *Complex Multiplication*. In: Algebraic Number Theory (J. Cassels, A. Frölich, eds.), Chapter XIII, pp. 292–296. Academic Press, 1967.
- [27] G. Shimura, Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions. Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [28] T. Shioda, N. Mitani, *Singular abelian surfaces and binary quadratic forms*. In: Classification of Algebraic and Compact Complex Manifolds, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **412** (1974), 259–287.
- [29] A. Silverberg, *Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **77** (1992), 253–262.
- [30] M. Suzuki, Group theory. I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [31] J. Wilson, *Explicit moduli for curves of genus 2 with real multiplication by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$* , Acta Arith. **93** (2000), 121–138.
- [32] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication*. Math. Res. Letters **7** (2000), 123–132.
- [33] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians and modular representations*. In: Moduli of abelian varieties (eds. C. Faber, G. van der Geer and F. Oort). Progress in Math., vol. **195** (2001), Birkhäuser, pp. 473–490.

- [34] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic Jacobians without Complex Multiplication, Doubly Transitive Permutation Groups and Projective Representations*. In: Algebraic Number Theory and Algebraic Geometry (Parshin Festschrift), Contemp. Math. **300** (2002), 195–210.
- [35] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic jacobians*. Moscow Math. J. **2** (2002), issue 2, 403–431.
- [36] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic Jacobians*. In: Number Theory, Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (Shafarevich Festschrift), Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **241** (2003), 90–104; Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **241** 2003, 79–92.
- [37] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Non-supersingular hyperelliptic jacobians*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), 617–634.
- [38] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Homomorphisms of abelian varieties*. In: Y. Aubry, G. Lachaud (ed.) Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory (AGCT 2003), Séminaires et Congrès **11**, 189–215 (2005).
- [39] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication and Steinberg representations in positive characteristic*, arXiv:math.NT/0301177 .

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, GIVAT RAM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL

*E-mail address:* `arsen@math.huji.ac.il`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA

*E-mail address:* `zarhin@math.psu.edu`